

County of Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Headquarters 4700 Ramona Boulevard

Monterev Bark, California 91754-2169



A Tradition of Service

May 20, 2014

The Honorable Board of Supervisors County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AND COMPREHENSIVE COURT SECURITY PLAN BETWEEN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES (ALL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)

SUBJECT

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (Department) seeks authority to execute a Memorandum of Understanding and Comprehensive Security Plan (Agreement) between the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles (County).

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

- 1. Approve and delegate authority to the Sheriff to execute the attached Agreement with the Court for the provision of court security services by the Department, commencing upon approval by the Board for an initial term of five years and one year renewal options thereafter, as necessitated by the Superior Court Security Act of 2012, codified at California Government Code Section 69920.
- 2. Delegate authority to the Sheriff to execute all future amendments and modifications to the Agreement, including the term renewal options, provided that it is in the best interest of the County and there is no increase in net County costs.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 69921.5, the Sheriff is responsible for the provision of the necessary level of court security services. California Government Code Section 69925

The Honorable Board of Supervisors 5/20/2014 Page 2

requires the Presiding Judge of the Court, in conjunction with the Sheriff, to develop a Comprehensive Court Security Plan. California Government Code Section 69926 provides that the Sheriff, with the approval and authorization of the Board, shall, on behalf of the County, enter into an annual or multi-year Memorandum of Understanding for court security staffing levels, specifying the agreed upon level of court security services. The required Memorandum of Understanding and Comprehensive Court Security Plan are combined in the attached Agreement, which is being presented for the Board's approval in accordance with California Government Code Section 69926. Presently, the County and the Court are operating under the previous Memorandum of Understanding and Comprehensive Court Security Plan, which was executed by the parties on July 20, 2009.

The Agreement contemplates the provision of baseline services, which are funded by the State of California (State) and provided directly to the County pursuant to California Government Code Section 30025. The agreed-upon baseline service levels are set forth on Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of the Agreement. The Agreement also provides for the provision of supplemental services, or those services that exceed the baseline services, at the Court's request. Any supplemental services requiring the use of Court funds requires the advance approval from the Court. The scope of supplemental services provided by the Department includes services identified as supplemental services on Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of the Agreement, and Non-Court Operation Events, including but not limited to, after-hours security for conferences and human resource examinations, as described in the Agreement.

<u>Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals</u>

The Agreement with the Court supports the County's Strategic Plan, Goal 1, Operational Effectiveness. By providing court security services to the Court, the Department maximizes the effectiveness of the court security process, structure, and operations to support timely delivery of customer-oriented and efficient public service.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

There is no fiscal impact. Per California Government Code Section 30025, funding for baseline services is provided directly from the State to the County, and deposited into the County's Trial Court Security Account, which is administered by the County's Auditor-Controller. The Court will pay the County for supplemental services provided above baseline services at rates established annually by the County's Auditor-Controller.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The initial term of this Agreement shall be for five years, commencing upon execution by both parties and approval by the Board, unless terminated earlier as set forth in the Agreement. The Agreement may be amended and may be extended for additional periods of one year upon mutual assent and execution of a written amendment by both parties. The Sheriff seeks delegated authority herein to execute such amendments to the Agreement.

During the term of the Agreement, the Department shall provide all court security services as set forth in the Agreement. Court security services include law enforcement and security services provided within courtrooms, lockups, holding cells, hallways, and corridors. Court security services also include perimeter security, including weapons screening at courthouse entrances. The Agreement provides for an audit-review of the staffing levels, and requires an annual overall 98 percent minimum court-wide compliance with the agreed upon staffing levels set forth in Exhibit A,

The Honorable Board of Supervisors 5/20/2014 Page 3

Master Service Level Form, of the Agreement. The Agreement provides for mutual indemnification of the parties.

The Trial Court Funding Act of 1997 provided that the State assume financial responsibility for funding the trial courts. Only those costs associated with local judicial benefits, collection enhancement, indigent defense, and certain building charges remained as County obligations. In 1998, AB 1935 amended California Government Code Section 77212 requiring Counties to continue providing existing services to the trial courts through June 30, 1999, at a rate not to exceed the costs of providing similar services to other County departments or special districts. The County and the Court are currently operating under the previous Memorandum of Understanding and comprehensive Court Security Plan, which was entered into by both parties on July 20, 2009, as originally approved by the Board on June 15, 1999, prior to the 2011 Public Safety Realignment.

In 2011, AB 118 established that the court security funding, previously allocated by the State to the judicial branch through the Trial Court Funding Act of 1997, be realigned and allocated directly to the County. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 30025, the County, through the County's Auditor-Controller, created a Trial Court Security Account within the County's 2011 Local Revenue Fund that is used exclusively to fund trial court security services provided by the Department. No general County administrative costs may be charged to this account.

County Counsel has approved the attached Agreement as to form.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

1s. Date

None. The Department will provide the personnel and resources required for the provision of baseline and supplemental court security services to the Court.

CONCLUSION

Upon approval by the Board, it is requested that the Clerk of the Board return one original adopted Board letter to the Department's Contract Law Enforcement Bureau.

Sincerely,

JOHN L. SCOTT

Sheriff

JLS:RTM:RZ:rz

Enclosures

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

AND

COMPREHENSIVE COURT SECURITY PLAN

BY AND BETWEEN

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

AND

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

2014

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AND COMPREHENSIVE COURT SECURITY PLAN

WHEREAS, California Government Code § 69925 requires COURT and SHERIFF to develop a comprehensive court security plan; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code § 69926 requires COURT and SHERIFF to enter into an annual or multi-year memorandum of understanding for court security services, specifying the agreed upon level of court security services; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the 2011 Public Safety Realignment, court security funding previously allocated by the State of California to the judicial branch through the Trial Court Funding Act of 1997 was realigned and allocated directly to the County in an amount consistent with the 2010-2011 Service Levels for court security; and

WHEREAS, in light of the realignment funding, COURT has no obligation to pay SHERIFF for baseline service levels set forth in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement; however, COURT may pay SHERIFF for court security service delivery that would not otherwise have been required absent realignment.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, and for good and valuable consideration, the parties mutually agree as follows:

I. SERVICES

A. SCOPE OF SERVICES

- During the term of this Agreement, SHERIFF shall provide all court security for the necessary and appropriate level of law enforcement services in the courts to meet the security needs of COURT, as mutually agreed to by COURT and SHERIFF. Court security shall include, but is not limited to, law enforcement and security services provided within courtrooms, lockups and holding cells, and hallways and corridors. Court security shall also include perimeter security, including weapons screening at courthouse entrances, as determined appropriate by COURT and SHERIFF.
- 2. Court security services provided under this Agreement shall be designated as either: (1) the baseline service level for court security staffing, after-hours security, building emergency coordination, and training, as well as supervision for these functions, funded directly by the State of California, or (2) supplemental services requested and funded by COURT.

B. BASELINE SERVICE LEVEL

- 1. The baseline service level for each court facility has been agreed upon by COURT and SHERIFF as specified in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement, attached hereto and fully incorporated by this reference. The baseline service level table set forth in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement represents the number of Duty Stations, by SHERIFF's employment classification, staffed on each business day in a given court facility. SHERIFF agrees to fully staff all facilities each business day, subject to the terms of Paragraph I(E), Audit Review, of this Agreement.
 - (a) Each position shall be assigned to a Duty Station. SHERIFF shall supply the necessary number of staff, as determined by the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller, which corresponds to the annual work hours necessary to fully cover each Duty Station. It is recognized that the total number of staff provided by SHERIFF in order to fulfill the scope of services under this Agreement are greater than the number of Duty Stations.
 - (b) SHERIFF shall be governed by California Government Code Section 30025 (2011 Realignment Legislation) or subsequent legislation when seeking reimbursement for baseline court security services under this Agreement.
 - co COURT and SHERIFF agree that the Relieved Service Units previously purchased by COURT from SHERIFF prior to the 2011 Public Safety Realignment included those relief factors embedded in the 2010-2011 Relief Rates established by the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller, as shown in the attached Exhibit B, Trial Court Funding Law Enforcement Rates, Fiscal Year 2010-11, of this Agreement. For all Service Units except courtroom bailiff, the Relief Rate was 13.1% of Salary & Employee Benefits (S&EB); the Relief Rate for courtroom bailiff Service Units was 3.5%. No Relief Rate attached to Service Units attributable to the Judicial Services Unit. The parties agree that as to any future agreements and/or rates, this valuation is not binding, but is set forth here to provide a record of the original, agreed-upon calculation of Relief Rates.
- 2. The COURT may conduct random audits to count the number of SHERIFF personnel assigned at each courthouse in order to monitor the level of court security services provided by SHERIFF and to ensure full staffing compliance. SHERIFF shall supply daily in-service sheets to COURT personnel by 10:30 am at each courthouse location.
- 3. The baseline service level shown in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement represents the minimum staffing necessary for adequate security under the operating conditions and COURT business practices existing upon the execution of this Agreement, subject to the terms of Paragraph I(E), Audit Review, of this Agreement. The baseline service level shall not be modified

unilaterally, except by COURT to account for prevailing COURT business practices including the conversion of civil courts to Court Attendant staffing or the closure of an entire courthouse(s). In that event, COURT and SHERIFF shall work cooperatively to determine appropriate staffing levels.

4. Additional costs related to State Parole Hearings resulting from the passage of Assembly Bill 109 are currently being absorbed. COURT and SHERIFF agree to work collaboratively to identify new funding sources to cover Assembly Bill 109 costs, and may enter into a separate agreement to memorialize the parties' understanding with regard to Assembly Bill 109 costs.

C. SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICES

- 1. At COURT's request, SHERIFF may provide supplemental security services that exceed baseline services. Any supplemental service requiring the expenditure of COURT funds requires advance approval of the Presiding Judge or his/her designee, and written authorization by COURT's Deputy Executive Officer of Administration & Finance.
- 2. The scope of supplemental services provided by SHERIFF includes those services identified as supplemental services on Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement.
- 3. The scope of supplemental services provided by SHERIFF also includes Non-Court Operation Events including, but not limited to, after hours security for conferences and human resource examinations, as further described in Paragraph III(B)(3), Temporary Special Services (TSSRs), of this Agreement.

D. QUALITY OF SERVICE

1. SHERIFF is responsible for ensuring that all SHERIFF personnel performing services under this Agreement are knowledgeable and will perform, at a minimum, all duties expected of them, as delineated in the Court Services Division Manual (which is updated from time-to-time) and Branch-specific policies and procedures, including court-related training.

E. AUDIT REVIEW

- 1. SHERIFF shall strive to achieve full staffing levels identified in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement. While circumstances may prevent SHERIFF from achieving full staffing levels, operating near the following levels may present unacceptable services and prompt COURT to work with SHERIFF to increase service levels:
 - (a) On any given day, SHERIFF shall not fall below seventy-five percent (75%) of the staffing level identified for that facility in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement.

- (b) On any given day, SHERIFF shall not fall below eighty-five percent (85%) of the court-wide staffing level in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement on a year-to-date basis.
- (c) For any given fiscal year, SHERIFF shall ensure an overall annual ninety-eight percent (98%) minimum court-wide compliance with the staffing levels identified in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement.

II. AUTHORITY

A. COURT'S AUTHORITY

- 1. A judicial officer may request that SHERIFF replace the courtroom bailiff assigned to his or her courtroom with a different bailiff. In the event that SHERIFF is unable or unwilling to replace the particular courtroom bailiff, the matter shall first be presented to that judicial officer's Supervising Judge for resolution, and if the Supervising Judge is unable to resolve the matter, the Presiding Judge shall have the authority to reject, remove, or refuse the assignment of any bailiff.
- 2. SHERIFF acknowledges the Presiding Judge's authority regarding:
 - (a) The number and location of weapons screening entrances,
 - (b) The number of courtrooms and the type of litigation (i.e. criminal or civil) assigned to said courtrooms,
 - (c) The elimination of Lockup Deputies from courthouse(s) in which no courtroom shall conduct any criminal cases or otherwise engage in any official action that requires an individual to be held in custody, and
 - (d) Whether bailiff services are necessary in courtrooms in which only Civil matters are heard.
- 3. COURT may replace any courtroom bailiff provided by SHERIFF under this Agreement with a COURT employee ("Court Attendant"). COURT shall provide forty-five (45) calendar days' written notice to SHERIFF before replacing any courtroom bailiff with a Court Attendant, and thirty (30) calendar days' written notice before eliminating any Lockup Deputies due to suspension of a courthouse lockup facility or before reducing the service level for any other reason. SHERIFF agrees that, where possible, the reduction in service level will be made as soon as practical after receiving notice.

B. SHERIFF'S AUTHORITY

- 1. The services performed by SHERIFF, the standards of performance, the discipline of officers, the control of SHERIFF's personnel, and other matters incident to the performance so employed shall remain with SHERIFF.
- 2. SHERIFF shall not provide bailiff services to any courtroom, whether on a

temporary or a permanent/ongoing basis, unless the Presiding Judge or his/her designee expressly authorizes such services and thereby agrees to compensate SHERIFF for such services at the applicable service rates set forth herein, if applicable.

C. ADMINISTRATION OF AGREEMENT

- 1. SHERIFF's Chief of Court Services Division shall have authority to administer this Agreement on behalf of SHERIFF.
- 2. COURT'S Presiding Judge or designee shall have authority to administer this Agreement on behalf of COURT.

III. COSTS

A. BASELINE SERVICE LEVEL

- 1. Funding for SHERIFF's provision of baseline service levels set forth in Exhibit A, Master Service Level Form, of this Agreement is provided by the State of California directly to SHERIFF pursuant to California Government Code sections 30025 and 30027(c)(1).
- 2. COURT reserves the right to move deputy bailiff security positions to deputy bailiff (courtroom) positions, based on the needs of COURT, to reflect the agreed upon service levels in the 2010-11 baseline, subject to advance consultation with SHERIFF.
- 3. Any increase in positions above the baseline that are requested by COURT shall be funded by COURT as supplemental services.

B. SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICES

1. COURT shall pay SHERIFF for all supplemental services at the Supplemental Trial Court Security Rates then in effect, which are attached hereto as Exhibit C, Supplemental Trial Court Security Rates, unless otherwise specified herein.

2. Judicial Services Unit

- (a) SHERIFF shall provide to COURT the services of the Judicial Services Unit, which shall provide enhanced security for judicial officers and COURT personnel when requested by the Presiding Judge or designee.
- (b) COURT shall pay one-half (1/2) of SHERIFF's cost of providing six (6) Deputy personnel to staff the Judicial Services Unit at the Non-Relieved Service Unit Rate then in effect and set forth on Exhibit C, Supplemental Trial Court Security Rates, of this Agreement. In the event that the requirements for special judicial protection services exceed the assigned Deputy personnel and the amount allocated for these costs, COURT shall

- appropriate additional funding sufficient to reimburse SHERIFF for all agreed upon expenses.
- (c) Overtime costs, at full cost, shall accrue on each day of service after the personnel assigned have provided eight (8) hours of service at the regular Non-Relieved Service Unit rate. COURT shall also be responsible for incidental expenses incurred. Such incidental expenses may include, but shall not be limited to, mileage costs and travel fares, meals, porterage, and lodging. Such costs shall be billed either at the actual expense incurred or at the per diem rates allowed by SHERIFF for its own employees, whichever is less. The billings for overtime services and expenses of the Judicial Services Unit shall contain an itemized accounting of all services provided and all incidental expenses incurred, with copies of receipts attached when appropriate. All overtime costs must be approved by COURT in advance.

3. Temporary Special Service Requests (TSSRs)

- (a) TSSRs are a tool used by SHERIFF to communicate with COURT whenever enhanced security is required by the circumstances. TSSRs are not billing documents except as provided in the following Paragraph III(B)(3)(b).
- (b) TSSRs will be used for billing purposes only for Non-Court Operation Events. Those activities for which COURT is obligated to pay include, but are not limited to, special events where SHERIFF provides security, i.e. after-hours security, conferences, human resource examinations, etc. COURT shall reimburse SHERIFF for Non-Court Operation Events only upon advance written approval of the TSSR by COURT's Deputy Executive Officer.

IV. BILLING

- A. SHERIFF shall submit internal vouchers ("I.V.") to the County Auditor-Controller and to COURT on a monthly basis for payment for supplemental services provided under this Agreement. The I.V. shall be supported by an itemized detail of costs, including service rates and supplemental services performed. Overtime costs shall be distinguishable from straight-time costs.
- B. Upon receipt of an I.V., COURT shall have thirty (30) calendar days to approve or reject any portion of the charges.
- C. The County Auditor-Controller shall transfer from the appropriate COURT account to SHERIFF's account on the thirty-third (33rd) calendar day following the date upon which the I.V. is prepared for any portion of the charges not rejected or disputed. In the event a dispute arises, the parties shall meet and confer within ten (10) business days in an attempt to resolve the dispute. In the event no agreement can be reached, final resolution of the dispute shall be made at a meeting between the SHERIFF Chief of Court Services

Division and the Presiding Judge. The County Auditor-Controller shall not pay any disputed amounts during the time a dispute remains unresolved.

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. TERM

- 1. The initial term of this Agreement shall be five years, commencing upon execution by both parties and approval by the Board of Supervisors, unless terminated earlier as set forth herein.
- 2. This Agreement may be amended from time to time upon mutual assent and execution of a written Amendment by both parties.
- 3. The term of this Agreement may be extended for additional periods of one (1) year upon mutual assent and execution of a written Amendment by both parties. A template for such term extensions is attached hereto as Exhibit D, Amendment Template.

B. NOTICES

Unless otherwise specified herein, all notices or demands required or permitted to be given or made under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be hand delivered with signed receipt or mailed by first class registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties at the following addresses and to the attention of the person named. Addresses and persons to be notified may be changed by either party by giving ten (10) calendar days prior written notice thereof to the other party.

To SHERIFF:

Chief of Court Services Division 1000 South Fremont Avenue Building A9E, 5th Floor South Alhambra, California 91803

To COURT:

Presiding Judge
The Los Angeles Superior Court
111 North Hill Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

C. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 1. Operational issues such as duties or assignments which do not impact funding or service changes shall be resolved by the appropriate COURT representative and SHERIFF representative responsible for the particular court location. The parties shall meet in a good faith effort to resolve the dispute to their mutual satisfaction.
- 2. If the parties are unwilling or unable to enter into an agreement pursuant to

California Government Code section 69926 subdivision (b), or if there is a dispute regarding the administration or level of services and equipment being provided, the parties shall meet and confer in a good faith effort to resolve any dispute. If this does not result in a resolution, the parties shall follow the dispute resolution procedures outlined in California Government Code section 69926 and the applicable Rule of Court.

D. EMPLOYMENT STATUS

COURT shall not be liable for the direct payment of any salaries, wages, or other compensation to any SHERIFF personnel performing services under this Agreement. For all purposes, SHERIFF personnel performing services under this Agreement are employees of SHERIFF and not COURT.

E. MUTUAL INDEMNIFICATION

- 1. COURT shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless COUNTY, its Special Districts, elected and appointed officers, employees, and agents from and against any and all liability, including but not limited to demands, claims, actions, fees, costs, and expenses (including attorney and expert witness fees), arising from or connected with COURT's acts and/or omissions arising from and/or relating to this Agreement.
- 2. COUNTY shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless COURT and the State of California, and their elected and appointed officers, employees, and agents from and against any and all liability, including but not limited to demands, claims, actions, fees, costs, and expenses (including attorney and expert witness fees), arising from or connected with COUNTY's acts and/or omissions arising from and/or relating to this Agreement.

F. JURISDICTION

The terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be construed and interpreted under the laws of the State of California.

G. VALIDITY

If any provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of this Agreement and the application of such provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

H. WAIVER

No waiver by the parties of any breach of any provision of this Agreement shall constitute a waiver of any other breach or of such provision. Failure of the parties to enforce at any time, or from time to time, any provision of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver thereof.

ATTACHED EXHIBITS:

- A- Master Service Level Form
- B- Trial Court Funding Law Enforcement Rates, Fiscal Year 2010-11
 C- Supplemental Trial Court Security Rates
- D- Amendment Template

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AND COMPREHENSIVE COURT SECURITY PLAN

The Presiding Judge of the Superior Court and the Sheriff of Los Angeles County have negotiated and entered into this Agreement, subject to the approval and authorization of the Board of Supervisors pursuant to California Government Code section 69926(b). The Presiding Judge and Sheriff shall jointly seek such approval and authorization from the Board of Supervisors as expeditiously as possible.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
LOS ANGELES COUNTY

By: DAVID S. WESLEY
Presiding Judge

Date: 4-14-14

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

OR OF THE STORY OF LOS ANGELES

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

By: Date: 4/3/14

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

JOHN F. KRATTLI
County Counsel

ACKSON

Sr. Deputy County Counsel

By:

D. BRETT BIANCO

Court Counsel

Printed: 328/2014 @ 12:48 PM CobbatN1-shq-06sharedfiles(CLEBIPublic Entity Contracts13:14TRIAL COURT FUNDINGCourt MOUFinal Court MOU and Exhibits(Exhibit A

5.50	5-50)	行政を選択と対対	The second secon	STATE OF THE PARTY	量 被被犯法或犯法或犯	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	《新教》	66.0	建筑建筑水水水	AZOOL	1,002	新教教教	-0.0F	W. C. Witness	CENURAL	Ab 1058 USCF (Stantifungeo).
	43.00 1,208.80	43.00	292.30	7.30	144.00	111.00	30.00	916.50		395.00		241.00	60.50		The second secon	
6.00								6 00	6.00						CENTRAL	T (TCF)
-,210.00	-, NON. 00	10.00	201.00				00:00		SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICES	PPLEMENT	100			44.5		
462.00	450.00	12.00	105.00	730	49.00	45.00	11.00	345.00		135.00	78.00	97.00	21.00	39.00	The same and the s	SUB-TOTAL DIVISION
	1														WEST	18 WEST L.A. (Closed)
62 00	60.00	2.00	9.00		4.00	3.00	2.00	51.00		23.00		19.00	2.00	2.00	NORTHWEST	
26.00	26.00		10.00		6.00	4.00		16.00		5.00	1		1.00	1.00	NORTHWEST	
38.00	37.00	1.00	7.00		3.00	3.00	1.00	30.00		12.00	1	8.00	2.00	1.00	SOUTHWEST	_
10.00	10.00		3.00		2.00	1.00		7.00		3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	NORTH VALLEY	<u></u>
1700	17 00		90.8		300	300		14 00		J 0.00	16.00 Sym	1.00	31.	100	WON'T VALLET	_
18.00	18.00		4 00		200	300		12 00		300	300	4 00	100	3	NORTH VALLEY	SANTA CLARITA
			, 00		0.00	- 50		10.00		2000	1000000	10.00	1.00	-	NON IT VALLET	SAN PEDRO (INC. AVAI ON)
45.00	45 00		700		300	3	90	30,00		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	0000	13 00	3	200	WEST	SAN EEDNANDOL
00.00	/0.00	4.00	17.00		7.00		3.00	00.00		21.00	10.00	18.00	3.00	2.00	SOUTH	MALIBIT COSCAT
0 0	76.00	3	4.00		7.00	2.00	9	9.00		4.00		2.00		1.00	NORTH	+
3000	10.00		300		200	1.00		3.80		2.00			0.50	0.30	SOUTHWEST	6 INGLEWOOD JUVENILE
K/. 40	20.20	1,00	2.00		3.00	4.00		19.20		9.00	-	5.00	1.50	0.70	SOUTHWEST	-
1000	15,00		6.00		3.00	3.00		9.00			T		1.00		NORTH VALLEY	-
			4.00		2.00	2.00		3.00			1.00		1.00	-	WEST	-
55.00	53.00	2.00	12.00		4.00	6.00	2.00	41.00		16.00		14.00	2.00	2.00	NORTH	
44.00	42.00	2.00	8.00		3.00	3.00	2.00	34.00				13.00	2.00	1.00	WEST	1 AIRPORT+
406.00	391.00	15.00	96.00	•	50.00	37.00	9.00	295.00		145.00	46.00	69.00	21.00	14.00		TOTAL EAST BUREAU
		1.	1				-	1	And the state of t				And the first of the first of the fact of		SOUTHEAST	19 WHITTIER (Closed)
29.00	27.00	2.00	8.00		4.00	3.00	1.00	19.00		10.00	2:00	5.00	1.00	1.00	EAST	
35.00	35.00	ı	8.00		4.00	4.00		27.00				6.00	1.00	1.00	EAST	1.7 POMONA SOUTH
6.00	6.00	,	1.00				1.00	5.00				4.00	1.00		EAST	16 POMONA NORTH
40.00	38.00	2.00	8.00		5.00	2.00	1.00	30.00		12		5.00	2.00	1.00	NORTHEAST	
35.00	33.00	2.00	6.00		3.00	3.00		27.00		15.00		5.00	2.00	1.00	SOUTHEAST	
12.00	11,00	1,00	4.00		2.00	2.00		7.00		3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00		SOUTHEAST	13 LOS PADRINOS JUVENILE
The space of the s			ı					,					ı		CENTRAL	
		***	- (1			-			1	!!!			SOUTHEAST	11 HUNTINGTON PARK (Closed)
1200	12.00	2.00	300		0.00	1.0		900		300	200	300	1.00	3 5	NORTH CENTRAL	TO DI FINDAL FI
3000	20.00	3	7.00		3 5	20.00		44.00		20000000	N STORY	0.00	3.0	3 -	CENTRAL	┿
2 4 00	13.00	1.00	4.00		1.00	3.00		9.00				1.00	1.00	1.00	CENTRAL	ŀ
27.00	26.00	1.00	8.00		5.00	2.00	1.00	18.00		7.00		5.00	2.00	1.00	SOUTHEAST	6 DOWNEY
10.00	10.00		2.00		1.00	1.00		8.00		3.00		2.00	1.00		CENTRAL	5 DEPT #95 - MENTAL HEALTH
75.00	73.00	2.00	17.00		9.00	5.00	3.00	56.00				15.00	3.00	2.00	CENTRAL	_
15.00	15.00		3.00		2.00	1.00		12.00		†	Ì	4.00	1.00	1.00	NORTH CENTRAL	BURBANK
24.00	23.00	1.00	6.00		3.00	2.00	1.00	17.00		5.00	1	6.00	1.00	1.00	SOUTHEAST	2 BELLELOWER
19 00	18.00	1.00	4.00	7,00	2000	1 00	100	14 00		700	0.00	3000	100	3 2	NOPTLEAST	AT LAMBOA - XAL BOXTAC
0000	264 60	2000	2 4 8	7 20	0.00	20.00	200	20.00		18.00	t	18.00	4.00	2.00	CENTRAL	7 METROPOLITAN+
2.00	2.00		2.00	8		2.00		,			T				CENTRAL	6 HOLLYWOOD
35.00	33.00	2.00	8.00		4.00	3.00	1.00	25.00		7.00	-	9.00	2.00	2.00	CENTRAL	5 EAST LOS ANGELES
156.00	150.00	6.00	17.00		7.00	5.00	5.00	133.00			22 00	40.00	7.00	5.00	CENTRAL	i
76.40	73.40	3.00	33.40	4.40	20.00	9.00		40.00		27.00	9.00		3.00	1.00	CENTRAL	-
10.50	10.50	r	6.00		4.00	2.00		4.50			-		0.50		CENTRAL	2 CENTRAL CIVIL WEST+
26.00	23.00	3.00	5.00		2.00	1.00	2.00	18.00		譿	Ž.	8.00	2.00	1.00	CENTRAL	CENTRAL JAIL A
RELIEF ITEMS	LEVELS	RELIEF	TOTAL	HOURS	ASSISTANT	OFFICER	ASSISTANT	TOTAL	NON-	BAILIFF	SECURITY	DEPUTY	BONUS	SERGEANT	DISTRICT	NO BRANCH
SERVICE	TOTAL	TOTAL		Private Armed					DEPUTY		DEPUTY					
TOTAL				NNEL	NON-SWORN PERSONNEL	NON				ZE.	SWORN PERSONNEL	SI				FACILITY
									SERVICES	BASELINE SERVICES						

FY 2013-14 TRIAL COURT SECURITY SERVICE LEVELS LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT (Include Relief FTE's) As of March 3, 2014

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

" A Tradition of Service" Since 1850

TRIAL COURT FUNDING LAW ENFORCEMENT RATES

FISCAL YEAR 2010-11

Published by Contract Law Enforcement Bureau

RELIE (Relie ANNU (Monti RETIF	EF FACTOR (BAILIFF ONLY) EF FACTOR (ALL OTHERS) f on Overtime Rate) JAL RATE - Relieved hly Flat Rate) REMENT JAL RATE - Relieved w/Retiren JAL RATE - Non-relieved w/Re	13.10% 23.123% nent*	\$112,765 \$9,397 \$19,276 \$132,042 \$127,960	\$123,479 \$10,290 \$19,276 \$142,755 \$127,960	\$15,623 \$130,393 \$10,866 \$20,356 \$150,748 \$135,126	\$19,29; \$161,03; \$13,420 \$25,13; \$186,174 \$166,88
RELIE (Relie ANNU (Monti	F FACTOR (ALL OTHERS) f on Overtime Rate) JAL RATE - Relieved hly Flat Rate)	13.10% 23.123%	\$112,765 \$9,397 \$19,276	\$123,479 \$10,290 \$19,276	\$130,393 \$10,866 \$20,356	\$161,038 \$13,420 \$25,138
RELIE (Relie ANNU (Monti	F FACTOR (ALL OTHERS) f on Overtime Rate) IAL RATE - Relieved hly Flat Rate)	13.10%	\$112,765 \$9,397	\$123,479 \$10,290	\$130,393 \$10,866	\$161,03 \$13.420
RELIE (Relie	F FACTOR (ALL OTHERS) f on Overtime Rate) JAL RATE - Relieved		\$112 <u>,765</u>	\$123,479	\$130,393	\$161,03
RELIE	F FACTOR (ALL OTHERS)		<u> </u>	<u>\$14,795</u>	<u>\$15,623</u>	<u>\$19,29</u>
		3.50%	\$4,081			
ANNU	JAL RATE - Non-relieved		\$108,684	\$108,684	\$114,770	\$141,74
BENE	FITS	30.373%	\$25.320	\$25,320	\$26,738	\$33.02
SALA	RY		\$83,364	\$83,364	\$88,032	\$108,72
	ACE:UNIT		DEFUTY BAILIFF	DERUTÝ SECURITY &LOCKUP	DERUTY BONUSA	SERGEANT

SERVICE UNIT			SECURITY ASSISTANT	SECURITY OFFICER	CUSTODY ASSISTAN
SALARY			25,459	\$40,410	\$56,3
BENEFITS	26.640%		6,782	\$10,765	\$15,0
UNIFORM ALLOWANCE			300	\$300	\$6
RELIEF FACTOR (Relief on Overtime Rate)	13.10%		\$4,517	\$7,171	\$10,0
Add: LIAISON OFFICER	262.3	items	557	557	
ANNUAL RATE - Relieved (Monthly Flat Rate)			37,616 \$3,135	\$59,204 \$4,934	\$82,0 \$6,83
RETIREMENT	12.916%		3,288	\$5,219	\$7,2
ANNUAL RATE - Relieved w/R	etirement*		\$40,904	\$64,423	\$89,2

^{*}Rates reflected in spreadsheets.

FY 2010-11 TCF Rates - updated June 18, 2010pr

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

"A Tradition of Service"
Since 1850

SUPPLEMENTAL TRIAL COURT SECURITY RATES FISCAL YEAR 2013-2014

AS PUBLISHED BY THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

SERVICE UNIT		HOURLY
Deputy Sheriff, Generalist		\$ 67.37
Deputy Sheriff, Bonus I	n i promotorio de la composició de la comp La composició de la compo	73.06
Sergeant	ration of the second of the se	88.67
Lieutenant		106.46
Security Officer	er de la	32.18
Security Assistant	en er en	20.27
Custody Assistant (Custody)		42.55

CLEB:pr updated May 2013

Rates are effective July 1, 2013 thru June 30, 2014

ISM	WHAL RATE ary & Benefits)
\$	136,916.48
\$	141,708.55
\$	154,852.53
\$	167,929.46
	\$

AMENDMENT TO MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING AND COMPREHENSIVE COURT SECURITY PLAN

This Amendment to Memorandum of Understanding and Comprehensive Court Security Plan (hereinafter "Amendment") is made and entered into by and between the SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES (hereinafter "COURT") and the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, by and through the LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT (hereinafter "COUNTY"), effective upon execution by both parties.

I.	APPLICATION			
		Plan (hereinafter "Agr		anding and Comprehensive COURT and COUNTY on
П.	<u>TERM</u>			
	The term of the	Agreement is hereby ex	xtended for a one (1) year	period, from [date] to [date].
Ш.	MODIFICATIO	NS [insert here, if an	y]	
	All other provis	ions of the Agreemen	t shall remain in effect.	
Ame Ame Ame terms	ndment to be executed ndment to be executed as a second contract t	uted by its Presiding J cuted by the Sheriff hat they have full legal ontained herein. F CALIFORNIA,	udge, and the County of of Los Angeles Count	Los Angeles has caused this Los Angeles has caused this ty. The signatories to this spective organizations to the
	ding Judge	(Date)	By:Sheriff	(Date)
COU	INTY OF LOS AN	IGELES		